

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 17-1663V

Filed: August 20, 2019

UNPUBLISHED

WENDI WALTER and PHILLIP  
WALTER, on behalf of M.W., a minor  
child,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorneys' Fees and Costs

*Diana Lynn Stadelnikas, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Sarasota, FL, for petitioner.  
Colleen Clemons Hartley, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.*

### **DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Dorsey**, Chief Special Master:

On November 2, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioners allege that their minor child, M.W., suffered an intussusception as a result of his Rotarix, Prevnar 13, HiB, DTaP, Hepatitis B and IPV vaccinations received on October 26, 2016. See Petition at 1-2. On March 15, 2019, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 39.

---

<sup>1</sup> The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

On July 19, 2019, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 45. Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$23,700.30 and attorneys' costs in the amount of \$1,544.48. *Id.* at 1. Petitioner requests attorneys' fees incurred to establish the conservatorship in the amount of \$982.00 and for the state-required Guardian Ad Litem, in the amount of \$100.00.<sup>3</sup> *Id.* at 1, 2. Additionally, in compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred \$54.00 in out-of-pocket expenses. Thus, the total amount requested is \$26,380.78.

On July 19, 2019, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. ECF No. 46. Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 requires respondent to file a response to a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the Chief Special Master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3.

On July 23, 2019, petitioner filed a reply. ECF No. 47. Petitioner disputes respondent's position that he has no role in resolving attorneys' fees and costs and further reiterates his view that his attorneys' fees and costs in this case are reasonable.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request. In the undersigned's experience, the request appears reasonable, and the undersigned finds no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

**Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$26,380.78<sup>4</sup> as follows:**

- **A lump sum of \$25,244.78, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs, in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner and petitioner's counsel, Diana Lynn Stadelnikas;**

---

<sup>3</sup> Petitioner's motions states that the total cost incurred for the conservatorship was \$1,787.00. Counsel for petitioner paid the retainer of \$805.00 and is seeking reimbursement in counsel's costs. ECF No. 45 at 1.

<sup>4</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

- **A lump sum of \$982.00, representing reimbursement for attorneys' costs regarding the conservatorship, in the form of a check payable to Jonathan Watson and petitioner;**
- **A lump sum of \$100.00, representing reimbursement for attorney costs for the conservatorship, in the form of a check payable to petitioner and Kevin Kusta;**
- **A lump sum of \$54.00, representing reimbursement for petitioner's costs, in the form of a check payable to petitioner; and**
- **Petitioner requests all checks be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota Florida 34236.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>5</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Nora Beth Dorsey**

Nora Beth Dorsey  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>5</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.